From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: MAEDA, Hiroshi Osaka-Marubeni Bldg., 5-7 Hommachi 2-chome Chuo-ku,Osaka-shi Osaka 5410053 JAN. 3 1.2005 JAPAN

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL

SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION (PCT Rule 44.1) MAEDA PATENT OFFICE Date of mailing (day/month/year) 31/01/2005 Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraphs 1 and 4 below FOR FURTHER ACTION M04-E-255CT1 International filing date International application No. (day/month/year) 01/10/2004 PCT/JP2004/014907 Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.

1	x	The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.
		Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46):
		When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the accompanying sheet. International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.
		Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35
		For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.
2	2.	The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under
	3. 🗀	With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
	ــا ٠٠	the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the
		applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the contified as soon as a decision is made. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
l	4. Ren	ninders
	Sho Inte app	ortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application which of the international application, and the international stream of the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international Bureau as provided in Rules 90 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 90 <i>bis.</i> 3, respectively, solication, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 90 <i>bis.</i> 3, respectively, solication, or of the priority claim, must reparations for international publication.
l		
۱	Inte inte	ernational Bureau. The International Bureau Will sold a be established. These comments would also be made available to ernational preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to ernational preliminary examination of 30 months from the priority date.
١		
	exa da	amination must be filed if the applicant wishes before the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed te (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed the province of the performance of the province of the performance of
١	au	is for entry into the national phase belore those designation of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19
	ma	onthe
	Se Gu	the the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's uide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.
1	l	

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Laure Acquaviva

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international precision procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)



The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- the claim is unchanged;
- the claim is cancelled;
- the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added.
- 2. [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
- "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged." *Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added.

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (second sheet) (January 1994)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY see form PCT/ISA/220 (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) 02.10.2003 International application No. 01.10.2004 PCT/JP2004/014907 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B41J11/00 **Applicant** MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. I Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. Ⅱ ☐ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. IV applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☑ Box No. V Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VII ☑ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. **Authorized Officer** Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Axters, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2826



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/014907

Box No. I Basis of the opinion
 Box No. I Basis of the opinion With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. □ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material:
a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material:
☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing:
☐ contained in the international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theret has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/014907

Во	x No. II	Priority		
. 🛛	The fo	llowing document has not	been furnished:	
. 23		and a star applie	eation whose prior	ity has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).
	⊠	aulior	application whose	e priority has been claimed (Mule 450)3.1 and 5 miles
		translation of the earlier	applications and a	or the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has not that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
	Conse	equently it has not been p theless been established	on the assumption	the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has a that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2. 🗆	This	poinion has been establis	ned as if no priorit	Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international
	has b filing	een found invalid (Rules date indicated above is c	onsidered to be th	e relevant date.
3. 🗆			sider the validity (of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has on that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
4. <i>F</i>	Additiona	al observations, if necessa	, -	
				the etch of
	n No			bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or
	Box No. industri			bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement
		V Reasoned stateme al applicability; citation		
	Stateme	V Reasoned stateme al applicability; citation	nt under Rule 43 s and explanation Yes: Claims	2-23, 25-43, 47, 48, 50, 51
		V Reasoned stateme al applicability; citation	nt under Rule 43 s and explanatio	
	Stateme Novelty	V Reasoned stateme al applicability; citation ent (N)	nt under Rule 43 s and explanation Yes: Claims	2-23, 25-43, 47, 48, 50, 51 1, 24, 44, 45, 46, 49
	Stateme Novelty	V Reasoned stateme al applicability; citation	nt under Rule 43 s and explanation Yes: Claims No: Claims	2-23, 25-43, 47, 48, 50, 51
	Stateme Novelty Inventiv	V Reasoned statemeral applicability; citation (N) ve step (IS)	nt under Rule 43 s and explanation Yes: Claims No: Claims Yes: Claims	2-23, 25-43, 47, 48, 50, 51 1, 24, 44, 45, 46, 49
	Stateme Novelty Inventiv	V Reasoned stateme al applicability; citation ent (N)	rt under Rule 43 s and explanation Yes: Claims No: Claims Yes: Claims No: Claims	2-23, 25-43, 47, 48, 50, 51 1, 24, 44, 45, 46, 49 1-51
	Stateme Novelty Inventiv	V Reasoned statemeral applicability; citation (N) ve step (IS)	Yes: Claims No: Claims No: Claims No: Claims Yes: Claims No: Claims	2-23, 25-43, 47, 48, 50, 51 1, 24, 44, 45, 46, 49 1-51
1.	Stateme Novelty Inventiv Industr	V Reasoned statement (N) ve step (IS) ial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims No: Claims No: Claims No: Claims Yes: Claims No: Claims	2-23, 25-43, 47, 48, 50, 51 1, 24, 44, 45, 46, 49 1-51
1.	Stateme Novelty Inventiv Industr	V Reasoned statemeral applicability; citation (N) ve step (IS)	Yes: Claims No: Claims No: Claims No: Claims Yes: Claims No: Claims	2-23, 25-43, 47, 48, 50, 51 1, 24, 44, 45, 46, 49 1-51

Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/014907

Re Item V.

- 1. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 24, 44, 45, 46 and 49 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT with respect to EP-A-1 348 566 (document D1), US-A-2003035037 (document D2) or US-A-2003081096 (document D3), see specific references in the International Search Report. In particular the above documents disclose to provide an ink jet head or moving member which moves together with the ink jet head with a plurality of light emitters/ultraviolet light emitting diodes for emitting the light/ultraviolet light to the ink attached onto the recording surface of the recording medium to cure the ink.
- 2. Dependent claims 2-23, 25-43, 47, 48, 50 and 51 do not appear to contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, because the additional features of the above claims are either known from D1, D2 or D3 or appear to be merely straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill.

Re Item VIII.

 Although claims 1, 24, 44, 45, 46 and 49 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought or in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.